
Global Robotics Technology Roadmap 2025–2035

*A Multi-Regional, Cross-Domain Strategic Perspective
for Europe, Asia, and the United States*

Prepared by Henrik I Christensen, UCSD

Version 1.02 — April 2026

Document Scope

This roadmap synthesizes current state-of-the-art robotics research and industry data to identify a global technology trajectory for the decade 2025–2035. It integrates findings from leading robotics conferences (e.g., ICRA, IROS, RSS, CoRL), machine-learning venues (e.g., NeurIPS, ICML), and journal publications, combined with market intelligence from trade organizations and regional government strategies. The document is structured for use by policymakers, technology strategists, research agencies, and industrial R&D leaders.

Region	Strategic Focus	Time Horizon
Europe	Safety, compliance, collaborative robotics	2025–2035
Asia	Scale, manufacturing, humanoids	2025–2035
United States	AI leadership, autonomy, defense	2025–2035

Contents

Executive Summary	4
1 Introduction and Scope	5
1.1 Motivation	5
1.2 Scope Boundaries	5
1.3 Methodology	5
2 Global Market Baseline	6
2.1 Industrial Robotics	6
2.2 Service and Emerging Robotics Markets	6
2.3 Global R&D Investment Landscape	6
3 State of the Art: Academic Research Landscape	8
3.1 Embodied AI and Foundation Models for Robotics	8
3.1.1 Landmark VLA Systems	8
3.2 Reinforcement Learning and Sim-to-Real Transfer	9
3.3 Navigation and Autonomous Mobility	9
3.3.1 Semantic Navigation with VLMs	9
3.3.2 Safe Navigation under Uncertainty	9
3.4 Dexterous Manipulation and Tactile Sensing	9
3.5 Legged and Bio-Inspired Locomotion	10
3.6 Multi-Robot Systems and Human-Robot Collaboration	10
4 Enabling Technologies: Cross-Cutting Advances	11
4.1 Materials Science and Soft Robotics	11
4.1.1 Actuation Modalities	11
4.1.2 Multi-Material 3D Printing	11
4.1.3 Bioinspired Design Principles	11
4.1.4 Bioelectronic Medicine Interface	11
4.2 Computing Infrastructure	12
4.2.1 Neuromorphic and Edge AI	12
4.2.2 GPU-Parallelized Simulation	12
4.2.3 Photonic and Quantum Computing	12
4.3 Perception and Sensing	12
5 Regional Technology Strategies	13
5.1 Europe	13
5.1.1 Funding and Policy Architecture	13
5.1.2 Research Ecosystem	13
5.1.3 Industrial Champions	13
5.1.4 Strategic Priorities for Europe (2025–2035)	14
5.2 Asia	14
5.2.1 China	14
5.2.2 Japan	15
5.2.3 South Korea	15
5.2.4 Singapore	15
5.2.5 Strategic Priorities for Asia (2025–2035)	15

5.3	United States	16
5.3.1	Funding Architecture	16
5.3.2	AI and Software Ecosystem	16
5.3.3	Industrial and Logistics Robotics	16
5.3.4	Strategic Priorities for the United States (2025–2035)	16
6	Technology Roadmap 2025–2035	18
6.1	Roadmap Structure	18
6.2	Layer 1: Algorithms and AI	18
6.3	Layer 2: Hardware and Actuation	19
6.4	Layer 3: Materials and Manufacturing	19
6.5	Layer 4: Systems, Safety, and Deployment	20
7	Sector-Specific Analysis, Observations, and Recommendations	22
7.1	Manufacturing	22
7.1.1	Current State and Observations	22
7.1.2	Technology Gaps in Manufacturing	23
7.1.3	Regional Recommendations: Manufacturing	23
7.2	Logistics and Supply Chain	25
7.2.1	Current State and Observations	25
7.2.2	Technology Gaps in Logistics	25
7.2.3	Regional Recommendations: Logistics	26
7.3	Healthcare	28
7.3.1	Current State and Observations	28
7.3.2	Technology Gaps in Healthcare	29
7.3.3	Regional Recommendations: Healthcare	29
7.4	Field Applications: Agriculture, Construction, Mining, and Inspection	31
7.4.1	Current State and Observations	31
7.4.2	Technology Gaps in Field Applications	32
7.4.3	Regional Recommendations: Field Applications	32
7.5	Home and Service Robotics	34
7.5.1	Current State and Observations	34
7.5.2	Technology Gaps in Home Service Robotics	35
7.5.3	Regional Recommendations: Home Service Robotics	37
7.6	Sector Comparison: Technology Readiness and Investment Priority	37
8	Cross-Cutting Strategic Themes	39
8.1	Data: The New Scarcest Resource	39
8.2	The Humanoid Convergence Race	39
8.3	Sustainability and Circular Robotics	39
8.4	Workforce and Societal Impacts	40
8.5	Geopolitical Technology Risks	40
9	Recommended Research Priorities by Region	41
9.1	Europe: Priority Research Agenda 2025–2035	41
9.2	Asia: Priority Research Agenda 2025–2035	41
9.3	United States: Priority Research Agenda 2025–2035	42
10	Conclusion	43

Acknowledgements	44
A Glossary of Key Terms	51
B Conference Reference Guide	52

Executive Summary

Robotics is entering a transformative decade shaped by the convergence of three enabling megatrends: **physical AI** (vision-language-action models trained at scale), **advanced materials** (soft actuators, shape-memory alloys, electroactive polymers), and **next-generation computing** (neuromorphic chips, edge AI, photonic processors). Together these are blurring the space between traditional robots as fixed, programmed tools and robots as general-purpose, adaptive agents.

Key headline findings of this roadmap are:

- The global robotics market reached \$53.2 B in 2024 and is on a trajectory to \$178.7 B by 2033 (CAGR 16.3%) [1, 5].
- **Asia** dominates industrial deployment (74% of global installations in 2024; China alone 54%), while **Europe** leads in safety-critical regulation and collaborative cobots, and the **United States** leads in AI-powered autonomy and defense robotics [1].
- Vision-Language-Action (VLA) models—anchored by Open X-Embodiment, π_0 , OpenVLA, and Octo—are the most consequential algorithmic development of the current period, enabling cross-embodiment generalization for the first time [12, 13, 14, 15].
- Soft robotics and compliant mechanisms, enabled by liquid crystal elastomers (LCEs), electroactive polymers (EAPs), and self-healing hydrogels, are bridging the gap between rigid industrial systems and bio-compatible medical devices [41, 42].
- The humanoid robot segment, currently \$370 M in 2025, is projected to reach \$6.5 B by 2030 (CAGR 138%) [4], with Chinese OEMs and US technology companies racing to scale production.
- Regulatory asymmetry is a critical geopolitical variable: the EU AI Act, the first comprehensive legal framework for high-risk AI systems, is reshaping humanoid robot design globally [49].

The roadmap recommends that **Europe** consolidate its regulatory advantage into a positive differentiator for responsible robotics manufacturing and healthcare robotics export; that **Asia** pursue diversification beyond industrial automation toward service and healthcare sectors to hedge against demographic aging; and that the **United States** leverage its AI software supremacy to build vertically integrated robotics stacks spanning simulation, foundation model training, and hardware.

1 Introduction and Scope

1.1 Motivation

The last five years have witnessed an acceleration in robotics innovation unparalleled since the introduction of the industrial robot arm in the 1960s. The catalysts are systemic: large-scale language and vision models have transferred unprecedented semantic reasoning capabilities to robot controllers; materials science has produced compliant, bio-inspired structures that safely co-exist with humans; and computational hardware has reached sufficient density to deploy real-time inference at the edge.

Simultaneously, demographic pressures—aging populations in Europe and East Asia, labor shortages in logistics and healthcare across all mature economies—create urgent demand pull that no prior generation of technology alone could satisfy.

This roadmap, drawing on the proceedings of ICRA [7], IROS, RSS, CoRL, NeurIPS, ICML, and the robotics journals (TRO, IJRR, Science Robotics, ...), provides a structured, evidence-based view of where the field is today and where it must go by 2035.

1.2 Scope Boundaries

- **Technology domains covered:** embodied AI and learning, hardware and actuation, soft robotics and materials, computing infrastructure, perception and sensing, human-robot interaction, and multi-robot systems.
- **Regional focus:** Europe (EU+UK), East/South-East Asia (China, Japan, South Korea, Singapore), United States of America.
- **Application verticals:** manufacturing, healthcare, logistics, agriculture, defense, and domestic/service contexts.
- **Horizon:** Near-term (2025–2027), mid-term (2028–2031), and long-term (2032–2035).

1.3 Methodology

The roadmap was developed through:

1. Systematic review of peer-reviewed literature from the conferences and journals listed above.
2. Analysis of IFR World Robotics Reports 2024 and 2025 [1, 2] and ABI Research market intelligence.
3. Review of government R&D funding programs: Horizon Europe (EU), China 14th Five-Year Plan, US DoD and NSF programs.
4. Technology readiness level (TRL) assessment for key enabling technologies.

2 Global Market Baseline

2.1 Industrial Robotics

The IFR World Robotics 2025 report documents **542,000 industrial robot installations in 2024**, the second-highest annual total ever recorded, representing more than double the installations of a decade earlier [1]. The total global operational stock reached **4.664 million units**, a 9% year-on-year increase.

Table 1: Industrial Robot Installations by Region, 2024 [1]

Region/Country	Market Share	Units (2024)	YoY Change
China	54%	295,000	+7%
Japan	8%	44,500	−4%
South Korea	6%	30,600	−3%
USA	9% (Americas)	~43,000	−10%
Germany	5%	26,982	−5%
Europe (total)	16%	85,000	−8%
India	2%	9,100	+7%
Rest of world	—	~8,818	—

Key Insight: For the first time in history, Chinese domestic manufacturers outsold foreign suppliers in China’s own market, capturing 57% domestic market share, up from approximately 28% a decade ago [1].

2.2 Service and Emerging Robotics Markets

Beyond industrial automation, several adjacent markets are growing faster:

- **Collaborative robots (cobots):** Installations grew from 11,100 units in 2017 to 64,500 in 2024 (a share of 11.9% of all installations), growing at CAGR 27.5% toward \$7 B by 2030 [1, 4].
- **Mobile robots:** Expected to generate \$75 B in revenue by 2030, dominating hardware and software sales at 50–60% of total market revenue [4].
- **Humanoid robots:** From a nascent \$70 M in 2025 to a projected \$6.5 B in 2030 at an explosive CAGR of 138% [4]. Initial drivers are entertainment and controlled industrial environments before household deployment.
- **Surgical and healthcare robots:** CMR Surgical (Cambridge) raised \$132 M in 2025 to expand AI-powered surgical robotics [52].

2.3 Global R&D Investment Landscape

Table 2: Selected National Robotics R&D Programs, 2023–2025 [3]

Jurisdiction	Budget	Strategic Focus
European Union (Horizon Europe)	\$183.5 M (2023–25)	AI, data, robotics leadership; clean energy; innovative health
Germany (HTS 2025)	\$369.2 M	Industry 4.0, human-centred production, collaborative systems
USA – DoD (FY 2023)	\$10.3 B	Autonomy & military robotics
USA – NSF (FY 2024)	\$70 M	Workplace, hospital, community & home robotics
USA – NASA Artemis	\$53 B (2021–25)	Space robotics & exploration
China (14th Five-Year Plan)	\$137 B+ (high-tech industries)	Industrial dominance, humanoids, smart manufacturing
Japan	National strategy	Aging society automation, service robots

3 State of the Art: Academic Research Landscape

3.1 Embodied AI and Foundation Models for Robotics

The defining scientific shift of 2023–2025 has been the migration of **foundation model** methodology from language and vision to embodied robotic systems [37]. The central paradigm is now the *Vision-Language-Action* (VLA) model: a transformer-based architecture that jointly encodes visual observations, natural language task specifications, and proprioceptive state, producing continuous motor control outputs.

3.1.1 Landmark VLA Systems.

Open X-Embodiment (RT-X) [12]. Presented at ICRA 2024 (Best Paper Award), this dataset aggregates demonstrations from more than 20 academic institutions spanning diverse robot embodiments. RT-X models trained on this data achieve zero-shot generalization to novel robot platforms through fine-tuning—a foundational proof-of-concept for cross-embodiment transfer.

π_0 (**Physical Intelligence**) [13]. A flow-matching VLA trained on data collected at scale across multiple robot platforms, demonstrating competence across dexterous household manipulation tasks. π_0 shows that the scaling laws observed in language modeling transfer to robotic visuomotor policies.

OpenVLA [14]. An open-source VLA released at CoRL 2024, enabling the community to fine-tune a 7-billion-parameter vision-language-action model on task-specific datasets, lowering the barrier for academic robotics labs.

Octo [15]. Presented at RSS 2024, Octo is an open-source generalist robot policy with a flexible transformer architecture accepting diverse input modalities (language goals, image goals, proprioception) and outputting diffusion-based action distributions.

RDT-1B [16]. A 1B-parameter diffusion-based foundation model for bimanual manipulation (ICLR 2025). Demonstrates that diffusion policy heads can operate at robot control frequencies when distilled into smaller action chunks.

GEN-0 (Generalist AI) [17]. Trained on orders of magnitude more real-world manipulation data than any prior dataset, GEN-0 exhibits a phase transition at 7B parameters where scaling laws for robotics emerge analogously to language model behavior. Its “Harmonic Reasoning” framework addresses the latency-action synchronization problem unique to physical systems.

Research Frontier: Scaling laws for robotics (more data \rightarrow better generalization) are now empirically confirmed [17, 12]. The open question is whether world models, rather than direct action models, are necessary for long-horizon task reasoning.

3.2 Reinforcement Learning and Sim-to-Real Transfer

Reinforcement learning (RL) remains essential for tasks requiring precise contact dynamics not easily demonstrated. Key 2024–2025 advances include:

- **Physics-Aware Palletization** [20]: Best Automation Paper at ICRA 2025, using RL with online masking inference for realistic logistics palletization—one of the first RL systems demonstrated in true industrial conditions.
- **RAIL (Reachability-Aided Imitation Learning)** [21] (ICRA 2025): Safety-constrained policy execution, combining reachability analysis with imitation learning for provably safe policy rollouts.
- **Spot RL on Low-Level API** [22]: First public end-to-end RL policy deployed on Boston Dynamics Spot with open-source training code via NVIDIA IsaacLab.
- **ManiSkill3** [35]: GPU-parallelized robotics simulation enabling orders-of-magnitude speedups in sim-to-real experiments, critical for data-hungry RL.

3.3 Navigation and Autonomous Mobility

3.3.1 Semantic Navigation with VLMs. Vision-Language Frontier Maps (VLFM, ICRA 2024) enable zero-shot object-goal navigation in novel environments by grounding language queries to value maps derived from pre-trained vision-language models, demonstrated on Boston Dynamics Spot in real office environments [31].

VLM-GroNav (ICRA 2025) extends this to outdoor unstructured terrain by integrating proprioceptive feedback with semantic terrain understanding from VLMs, enabling dynamic traversability estimation for hill traversal and slippery surfaces [32].

3.3.2 Safe Navigation under Uncertainty. QuasiNav (ICRA 2025) formulates outdoor navigation as a constrained Markov decision process (CMDP) with quasi-metric embeddings capturing asymmetric traversal costs (e.g., uphill vs. downhill energy), achieving 13.6% energy reduction over baseline methods while satisfying safety constraints [33].

3.4 Dexterous Manipulation and Tactile Sensing

ICRA 2025 featured a notable trend toward **tactile sensing and dexterous manipulation**, driven by the conviction that vision alone is insufficient for contact-rich assembly tasks [81]:

- **E-Flesh** (Lerrel Pinto’s lab, NYU): 3D-printed stretchable tactile sensor covering full robot hand surfaces, enabling slip detection and force estimation without distal sensors.
- **RUKA Hand**: An open-source, human-like end-effector designed for rapid community construction and integration, featuring embedded tactile arrays.
- **Pneumatic Rolling Diaphragm Gripper** [23] (RAI Institute, ICRA 2025): A novel gripper using joint encoder feedback from a pneumatic actuator for grip-force control, enabling slip detection without a dedicated tactile sensor array.

- **Contact Smoothing for Feedback Control [24]** (RAI Institute): Differentiable simulation with contact smoothing enabling linear feedback controller design for contact-rich manipulation.

3.5 Legged and Bio-Inspired Locomotion

- **AquaMILR / AquaMILR+ [25]** (Georgia Tech, ICRA 2025): Untethered limbless robots for agile navigation in complex aquatic environments, leveraging mechanical intelligence to reduce control complexity in fluid media.
- **Variational Integrator Trajectory Optimization [26]** (USC, ICRA 2025): A physics-preserving discretization for trajectory optimization that enables long-flight triple backflips on quadruped robots with landing errors of only a few degrees—impossible with standard Euler integration.
- **MI-HGNN [27]** (Georgia Tech, ICRA 2025): Morphology-informed heterogeneous graph neural networks for legged robot contact perception, improving terrain adaptability.

3.6 Multi-Robot Systems and Human-Robot Collaboration

- **FOV-Aware Planning [28]** (USC, ICRA 2025): Human-robot collaboration planning that models humans' limited field of view, reducing redundant human actions by adapting robot strategy to human perceptual limitations.
- **EgoMimic [29]** (Georgia Tech, ICRA 2025): Learning household manipulation skills from first-person (egocentric) human videos, enabling scalable data collection without robot teleoperation.
- **CE-MRS [30]**: Contrastive explanations for multi-robot systems, improving interpretability and human trust in swarm deployments.

4 Enabling Technologies: Cross-Cutting Advances

4.1 Materials Science and Soft Robotics

The *Soft Robotics* journal and related venues document a rapid maturation of smart-material actuators that are transforming robot morphology [41, 42, 43].

Table 3: Smart Material Actuators for Soft Robotics: Comparison [41, 42]

Material/Mechanism	Stimulus	Key Properties	Application Areas
Liquid Crystal Elastomers (LCEs)	Thermal / Light	High strain, anisotropic, programmable	Locomotion, grippers, wearables
Shape Memory Alloys (SMAs)	Temperature	High force density, bio-compatible	Prosthetics, surgical tools
Electroactive Polymers (EAPs)	Electric field	Fast response, self-powered sensing	Soft grippers, artificial muscles, biosensors
Dielectric Elastomers (DEs)	Electric field	Large strain (>100%), lightweight	Bio-inspired locomotion, wearable actuators
Pneumatic elastomers (McKibben)	Pressure	Muscle-like compliance, safe HRI	Prosthetics, collaborative arms
Hydrogels	Humidity / pH	Tissue-like modulus, bio-compatible	Medical implants, biohybrid systems
Magneto-Active Polymers	Magnetic field	Remote actuation, miniaturisable	Microrobots, medical navigation

4.1.1 Actuation Modalities.

4.1.2 Multi-Material 3D Printing. A landmark 2025 paper in *Science Advances* [46] demonstrated autonomous soft robots fabricated via integrated digital light processing (DLP) and direct ink writing (DIW), embedding conformal electronics for tactile-to-visual feedback and obstacle avoidance. This “print-and-deploy” paradigm collapses design-to-hardware iteration time from months to days.

4.1.3 Bioinspired Design Principles. ICRA 2025 hosted a dedicated workshop [47]: *Towards Agility and Robustness: Mechanical Intelligence in Robotics, Biology, and Smart Materials*. The central thesis is that body morphology encodes control intelligence—compliant mechanisms inherently perform sensorimotor computation without software overhead, reducing latency in contact-rich tasks.

4.1.4 Bioelectronic Medicine Interface. An emerging frontier reported in *Advanced Materials* [45] is the integration of soft robotic actuators with implantable electronics for bioelectronic medicine—shape-morphing electrodes, self-folding nerve cuffs using hydrogel bilayers, and SMA-based wrapping cuffs triggered by body temperature.

4.2 Computing Infrastructure

4.2.1 Neuromorphic and Edge AI. Current VLA inference requires GPU-class hardware (NVIDIA Jetson AGX Orin, Thor) for real-time deployment. The next bottleneck is energy and latency: neuromorphic chips (Intel Loihi 2, IBM NorthPole) offer orders-of-magnitude efficiency gains for spike-coded sensorimotor representations but require new neural architectures. ICRA 2025’s workshop on Foundation Models and Neuro-Symbolic AI [48] highlighted this convergence as a critical research gap.

4.2.2 GPU-Parallelized Simulation. ManiSkill3 [35] and NVIDIA Isaac Lab / Omniverse / Cosmos [19] enable training of robot policies in massively parallelized simulation (thousands of environments simultaneously on a single GPU cluster), making data-hungry RL tractable. NVIDIA GR00T’s four-pillar architecture—foundation models for cognition, simulation via Omniverse/Cosmos, synthetic data pipelines, and Jetson AGX Thor hardware—represents the most complete vertical integration in the field.

4.2.3 Photonic and Quantum Computing. On the 5–10 year horizon, photonic processors promise teraOPS throughput with near-zero switching energy for matrix multiplications central to transformer inference. Quantum optimization algorithms are being explored for multi-robot path planning (combinatorial NP-hard problems) though qubit coherence times remain a barrier for near-term deployment (TRL 2–3 in 2025).

4.3 Perception and Sensing

- **3D Spatial Intelligence:** RoboSpatial [34] (CVPR 2025) teaches 3D spatial understanding to vision-language models, critical for manipulation in cluttered environments.
- **Tactile Arrays:** Piezoelectric polymer (PVDF) and capacitive tactile arrays are reaching commercial density levels sufficient for full-hand coverage, closing a decade-long gap between research prototypes and deployable systems.
- **Event Cameras:** Neuromorphic vision sensors (Dynamic Vision Sensors, DVS) produce asynchronous, microsecond-resolution event streams, ideal for fast manipulation and locomotion control where frame-rate cameras introduce unacceptable latency.
- **Multimodal Sensor Fusion:** VLM-GroNav [32] demonstrates real-time fusion of RGB, depth, proprioception, and language within a single VLM inference loop—an architectural precedent for all-modality robot perception.

5 Regional Technology Strategies

5.1 Europe

European Strategic Position (2025): Research excellence, regulatory leadership, precision engineering heritage. Key gaps: commercialization at scale, hardware supply chain (actuators, rare-earth materials), and VC depth relative to US and China.

5.1.1 Funding and Policy Architecture.

- **Horizon Europe** (running until 2027, \$100 B total): Robotics-specific allocation of \$183.5 M for 2023–2025 work programs, covering industrial AI, clean energy robotics, and health applications [3].
- **Germany’s High-Tech Strategy 2025 (HTS):** \$369.2 M budget emphasizing Industry 4.0, human-centred production, and collaborative systems—the largest national allocation in Europe.
- **EU AI Act (2024):** The world’s first comprehensive AI regulation classifies humanoid robots operating in public spaces, healthcare, and workplaces as high-risk systems requiring conformity assessment, transparency documentation, and human oversight mechanisms. This framework is becoming a global baseline [49].
- **SPARC / euRobotics Partnership:** A \$2.8 B public-private partnership between the European Commission and industry, coordinating national research efforts and enabling cross-border technology transfer [52].

5.1.2 Research Ecosystem. Key research institutions include:

- **Italian Institute of Technology (IIT):** Home to iCub (one of the world’s most replicated humanoid research platforms) and leading in soft robotics and neuro-inspired control [50].
- **TU Delft (Netherlands):** Renowned for bio-inspired mobility and flexible robot design.
- **DLR (Germany):** Space robotics, surgical robots, and lightweight compliant arms (KUKA DLR LWR lineage).
- **INRIA (France):** Algorithms, motion planning, and robotics software stacks (Pinocchio, Crocodyl).
- **ETH Zürich / EPFL (Switzerland):** Legged locomotion (ANYmal), soft actuators, and control theory.

5.1.3 Industrial Champions. ABB, KUKA (now Midea), Universal Robots (UR, Teradyne), Stäubli, FANUC Europe, and CMR Surgical anchor the European industrial base. The cobot segment, pioneered by UR, remains a European competitive advantage.

Neura Robotics (Germany) raised 120 M in January 2025—the largest European humanoid funding round to date [52].

5.1.4 Strategic Priorities for Europe (2025–2035).

1. **Responsible Robotics Manufacturing (2025–2028):** Leverage the AI Act compliance framework as a positive differentiator in export markets—particularly healthcare, eldercare, and public-sector robotics where safety standards command premium pricing.
2. **Surgical and Medical Robotics (2025–2032):** Scale CMR Surgical, Medtronic, and emerging EU surgical robotics startups in competition with US Intuitive Surgical and Chinese entrants. Soft robotic endoscopy tools (IIT, EPFL) are a natural EU strength.
3. **Agricultural Robotics (2026–2033):** Europe’s CAP (Common Agricultural Policy) reform and labor shortages create a ready market for precision weeding, harvesting, and soil-sensing robots that can be developed with a sustainability mandate.
4. **Cobot Ecosystem Deepening (2025–2030):** Move UR, KUKA, and ABB cobots from simple pick-and-place to AI-enabled adaptive assembly using VLA fine-tuning on European factory floor data.
5. **Supply Chain Resilience (2027–2035):** Develop EU-sourced actuator and rare-earth supply chains. As of 2025, China controls ~63% of humanoid hardware supply chains, including rare-earth magnets and key actuator components [51].

5.2 Asia

Asian Strategic Position (2025): Dominant in industrial deployment scale, manufacturing efficiency, and hardware production. China is executing the most aggressive national robotics strategy in history; Japan and South Korea face demographic decline that simultaneously drives demand and constrains workforce.

5.2.1 China. China’s robotics trajectory is historic in scale:

- **295,000 industrial robots installed in 2024**—a global record, accounting for 54% of all installations [1].
- Operational stock exceeded **2 million units in 2024**, the first country to reach this threshold.
- Domestic manufacturers achieved **57% market share** in China, up from 28% a decade ago.
- The 14th Five-Year Plan targets continued 10% annual growth in robotics installations through 2028. A broader \$137 B commitment to robotics and high-tech industries has been reported [53].

- In humanoids, Unitree (G1, H1 platforms) and Fourier Intelligence are prioritizing mass production for industrial environments, targeting factories over household deployment in the near term [50].
- Shanghai AI Laboratory’s Dr. Jiangmiao Pang leads the InternVLA ecosystem, with GR00T-scale ambitions from a Chinese institutional base; work presented at CoRL and CVPR [18].

5.2.2 Japan. Japan accounts for **38% of global robot production** by export value, with 160,801 units exported in 2023 (export ratio 78%) [2]. Companies including FANUC, Yaskawa, Kawasaki, and Mitsubishi dominate global industrial robot supply chains. Honda’s ASIMO lineage and Softbank’s Pepper shaped global humanoid research; current focus is on eldercare robotics driven by demographic necessity: approximately 30% of Japan’s population is over 65 years old by 2030.

5.2.3 South Korea. South Korea maintains a **robot density of 1,012 units per 10,000 manufacturing workers**—the highest in the world, with Samsung and Hyundai Robotics (Boston Dynamics’ parent company) as leading industrial actors. The acquisition of Boston Dynamics by Hyundai Motor Group in 2021 created the world’s most integrated automotive-robotics conglomerate. Spot and Atlas represent the state-of-the-art in mobile manipulation and dynamic legged locomotion globally.

5.2.4 Singapore. New to the IFR R&D Program report in 2025, Singapore is pursuing a **National Robotics Program** with strategic focus on healthcare, construction, and precision manufacturing, leveraging its position as a regional hub for multinational R&D centers [3].

5.2.5 Strategic Priorities for Asia (2025–2035).

1. **Industrial Humanoid Scaling (2025–2030):** China and South Korea are positioned to achieve industrial humanoid mass production first. The critical bottleneck is not hardware but robust dexterous manipulation policies that generalize across unstructured factory environments.
2. **Eldercare and Healthcare Robotics (2026–2033):** Japan and South Korea face the most severe demographic aging globally. Service robots for activities of daily living (ADL), cognitive stimulation, and physical rehabilitation are essential social infrastructure, not luxury technology.
3. **Foundation Model Ecosystem for Asian Languages and Contexts (2025–2030):** Current VLA models are predominantly English-language and US-lab-data biased. Chinese, Japanese, and Korean language-conditioned robot learning datasets are a critical missing layer.
4. **Agricultural Automation (2026–2033):** Across ASEAN and South Asia, rural labor shortages and food security concerns are driving demand for affordable, rugged harvesting and crop monitoring robots.

5. **Rare-Earth Material Processing Leadership** (2025–2035): China’s dominant position in rare-earth elements gives it structural leverage in actuator production; investment in advanced processing and magnetically novel alternatives (e.g., magneto-active polymers) should be prioritized to maintain supply-chain advantage.

5.3 United States

US Strategic Position (2025): AI software leadership, university research excellence, defense robotics investment, and a thriving startup ecosystem. Key gaps: supply chain dependence on Asian hardware, and a fragmented regulatory environment relative to the EU.

5.3.1 Funding Architecture.

- **DoD (FY 2023):** \$10.3B for autonomy and robotics, the largest single government investment in robotics globally. DARPA programs (Robotic Autonomy in Complex Environments with Resiliency, RACER; underground robotics) drive advanced locomotion and perception research.
- **NSF (FY 2024):** \$70 M for civilian robotics across workplaces, hospitals, communities, and homes [3].
- **Legislation:** CHIPS and Science Act (\$280 B), Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, and IRA manufacturing provisions provide indirect support for domestic robot production scale-up.

5.3.2 AI and Software Ecosystem. The US leads the world in foundation model development for robotics: Google DeepMind (RT-1, RT-2, RT-X, Gemini Robotics), OpenAI (physical AI research), Physical Intelligence (π_0), NVIDIA (Isaac GR00T, Omniverse, Cosmos), Meta AI (HomeRobot, open-source robotics), Microsoft Research, and Carnegie Mellon / MIT / Stanford / Berkeley / USC / Georgia Tech / UC San Diego academic labs. The Boston Dynamics–MIT lineage and Stanford’s manipulation group (Chelsea Finn and Dorsa Sadigh) are globally defining paradigms for robot learning [7, 9].

5.3.3 Industrial and Logistics Robotics. Amazon Robotics (700,000+ mobile robots deployed), Symbotic, Berkshire Grey, and Locus Robotics (1 billion warehouse picks milestone with DHL) dominate logistics automation. The platinum sponsor of ICRA 2025 was VisionNav Robotics (logistics), reflecting the shift from academic showcase to industry-driven deployment [81].

5.3.4 Strategic Priorities for the United States (2025–2035).

1. **Vertical AI-Robotics Stack Integration** (2025–2029): NVIDIA’s GR00T initiative provides the clearest example— foundation model training (Cosmos), simulation (Omniverse), synthetic data, and Jetson edge compute as an integrated platform. Google DeepMind and Physical Intelligence are pursuing parallel vertically integrated stacks. Winning the “AI-robotics stack” competition will be the primary US industrial objective.

2. **Domestic Manufacturing Renaissance** (2027–2035): CHIPS Act incentives are enabling semiconductor reshoring. A parallel effort is needed for robot actuator and precision mechanism manufacturing to reduce dependence on Asian supply chains.
3. **Autonomous Systems for Defense** (2025–2030): DARPA and DoD investments in uncrewed ground vehicles, aerial autonomous systems, and underwater robots are maturing toward deployment. Human-machine teaming protocols and ethical autonomy frameworks are critical enabling research.
4. **Healthcare and Surgical Robotics** (2026–2033): With an aging baby boomer population and $\sim 70\%$ labor shortage in some US sectors [6], surgical assistance, elder care, and rehabilitation robotics represent a trillion-dollar domestic opportunity.
5. **Open-Source Robotics Infrastructure** (2025–2030): The success of ROS 2, OpenVLA, Octo, and ManiSkill3 demonstrates that open platforms accelerate adoption. US-led open robotics infrastructure (analogous to Linux for operating systems) could establish a global standard layer resistant to geopolitical fragmentation.

6 Technology Roadmap 2025–2035

6.1 Roadmap Structure

The roadmap is organized across four technology layers:

1. **Algorithms and AI** (embodied intelligence, learning, planning)
2. **Hardware and Actuation** (mechanisms, soft bodies, power)
3. **Materials and Manufacturing** (smart materials, fabrication)
4. **Systems and Deployment** (safety, HRI, regulation)

For each layer, technology readiness levels (TRL, 1–9) are assigned for the **near-term** (2025–2027), **mid-term** (2028–2031), and **long-term** (2032–2035) horizons.

6.2 Layer 1: Algorithms and AI

Table 4: Algorithms and AI Roadmap - Predicted TRL Levels

Technology	2025	2028	2035	Milestones
VLA foundation models (basic manipulation)	6	8	9	2027: reliable single-arm; 2030: bimanual; 2033: household-general
Cross-embodiment generalization	5	7	9	2028: adapt to novel robot via 10 demos; 2032: zero-shot
Long-horizon task planning	4	6	8	2028: 10-step household chains; 2033: open-world multi-hour tasks
World models for robotics	3	5	8	2029: predictive model for manipulation; 2034: causal reasoning
Real-time safe RL deployment	5	7	9	2026: certified on cobots; 2030: humanoid safety guarantees
Multi-robot coordination (swarm)	5	7	9	2027: 10-robot warehouse; 2033: 1000-robot outdoor swarm
Continual / lifelong learning	3	5	8	2029: field adaptation; 2034: autonomous curriculum generation
Natural language instruction following	7	9	9	2026: factory voice commands; 2028: conversational repair
Sim-to-real transfer (manipulation)	6	8	9	2027: <2h real-world adaptation; 2031: zero-gap simulation

Neuromorphic control policies	2	4	7	2029: energy-efficient reflex control; 2033: full-loop SNN
-------------------------------	---	---	---	--

6.3 Layer 2: Hardware and Actuation

Table 5: Hardware and Actuation Roadmap

Technology	2025	2028	2035	Milestones
Industrial cobot arms	9	9	9	Market maturity; AI-enable 2026–28
Legged locomotion (quadruped)	8	9	9	2027: all-terrain industrial; 2030: unstructured construction site
Bipedal humanoid (factory)	5	7	9	2027: 10-unit production pilots; 2031: 10k units/year
Bipedal humanoid (household)	3	5	7	2030: assisted living trials; 2035: limited household deployment
Dexterous robot hands	5	7	9	2027: 20-DoF with tactile; 2031: human-comparable dexterity
Full-body skin	3	5	8	2028: partial coverage; 2033: full body with nerve-like feedback
Pneumatic grippers	7	8	9	2026: food industry certified; 2030: surgical tool assist
Exoskeletons (industrial)	7	8	9	2026: EU certified; 2030: mainstream adoption in logistics
Aerial manipulation (UAV+arm)	4	6	8	2028: infrastructure inspection; 2033: construction assembly
Micro/nano robots (medical)	3	4	7	2030: in vivo navigation trials; 2035: targeted drug delivery

6.4 Layer 3: Materials and Manufacturing

Table 6: Materials and Manufacturing Roadmap

Technology	2025	2028	2035	Milestones
Liquid crystal elastomer actuators	5	7	9	2028: commercial grippers; 2033: wearable rehab suits

Technology	2025	2028	2035	Milestones
Shape memory alloys (prosthetics)	7	8	9	2026: ISO certified prosthetics; 2030: dexterous prosthetic hand
Electroactive polymers (EAPs)	5	7	8	2028: self-powered biosensors; 2032: artificial muscle bundles
4D-printed soft robots	4	6	8	2028: single-print actuated mechanisms; 2033: print-deploy pipeline
Self-healing materials	3	5	7	2029: autonomic crack repair; 2034: full structural self-repair
Biodegradable robot structures	2	4	7	2030: disposable surgical tools; 2035: environmental deployment
Magneto-active soft robots	4	6	8	2028: GI tract navigation; 2033: cardiac surgery assist
Bio-hybrid systems (living cells)	2	3	6	2031: bioactuator arrays; 2035: muscle-driven micro-robots
Multimaterial inkjet 3D printing	6	8	9	2027: embedded sensor fabrication; 2030: full robot in single print

6.5 Layer 4: Systems, Safety, and Deployment

Table 7: Systems, Safety, and Deployment Roadmap

Technology	2025	2028	2035	Milestones
Cobot safety certification (ISO/TS 15066)	9	9	9	Mature; extend to AI-adaptive cobots 2026
Humanoid safety frameworks	3	6	8	2028: EU conformity assessment; 2033: ISO humanoid standard
Robot operating system (ROS 2)	8	9	9	2026: real-time certified; 2028: safety-critical extension
Human-robot interaction (natural)	6	8	9	2027: voice+gesture in factories; 2030: social robot companions
Explainability XAI for robots	/ 4	6	8	2028: audit logs for decisions; 2033: real-time explanation

Technology	2025	2028	2035	Milestones
Cybersecurity for robot fleets	4	6	8	2028: fleet-level intrusion detection; 2033: self-defending systems
Digital twin integration	6	8	9	2027: real-time factory twins; 2030: predictive maintenance
Cloud / edge hybrid compute	7	8	9	2026: 5G robot fleets; 2029: satellite-connected field robots
Regulatory compliance automation	3	5	7	2029: AI Act auto-audit; 2033: global regulatory passport

7 Sector-Specific Analysis, Observations, and Recommendations

This chapter provides a granular, sector-by-sector assessment of the robotics opportunity across five verticals—manufacturing, logistics, healthcare, field applications, and home/service—covering current deployment reality, technology gaps, competitive dynamics, and concrete recommendations for each world region.

7.1 Manufacturing

Sector Snapshot (2024): Global installed base of 4.664 million industrial robots; 542,000 new installations. Electronics is now the largest adopting sector (128,899 units in 2024), overtaking automotive. Cobot installations set a record of 64,542 units (+12% YoY) with a CAGR of 35.2% to 2030 [1, 54].

7.1.1 Current State and Observations. Manufacturing is the most mature robotics application domain. However, a qualitative shift is under way that is as significant as the introduction of the industrial robot arm itself: the transition from **programmed automation** to **adaptive, learning-based production systems**.

Industry 5.0 and the Cobot Revolution. The global collaborative robot market reached \$2.14B in 2024 and is projected to grow to \$11.8B by 2030 [55]. Unlike their fixed-program predecessors, today’s cobots—Universal Robots UR series, KUKA LBR iiwa, FANUC CRX, Hanwha HCR—combine compliant joint torque control with vision-based programming interfaces that allow non-technical operators to deploy new tasks in hours. Industry data shows cobots reduce assembly time by up to 30%, improve product quality by 15%, reduce production errors by 30%, and cut energy use by 20% [54]. The shift from traditional, cage-isolated robots to collaborative, open-workspace systems is the defining structural change of the current decade.

Humanoid Pilots in Automotive Manufacturing. BMW (with Figure AI), Mercedes-Benz (with Apptronik’s Apollo), and Tesla (Optimus internally) are conducting the first industrial humanoid pilot deployments [56]. These represent the most demanding test of humanoid dexterity and reliability outside controlled laboratory settings. Results are mixed: Agility Robotics’ Digit has entered verified paid logistics pilots inside Amazon fulfillment centers; most humanoid factory deployments remain early-stage R&D rather than production-grade automation as of March 2026 [77, 80].

AI-Driven Quality Inspection. AI-powered vision systems scanning for assembly defects and quality anomalies in real time are arguably the fastest-adopted AI application in manufacturing, with near-zero hardware integration cost relative to traditional automation [57]. These systems are commercially deployed at scale across semiconductor, automotive, and electronics production.

Electronics Surpasses Automotive. For the first time, the electronics/electrical industry is now the world’s most robotized manufacturing sector (128,899 units in 2024), driven by EV battery assembly, consumer electronics, and semiconductor packaging [1, 58]. The precision and speed requirements of battery cell assembly, extremely

intolerant of contamination, requiring sub-millimeter placement, are driving demand for hybrid human-cobot lines.

7.1.2 Technology Gaps in Manufacturing.

1. **Dexterous manipulation at industrial speeds:** Current robot grippers excel at known-geometry pick-and-place but fail on the deformable, irregular-geometry objects common in electronics and food manufacturing. Tactile sensing arrays and soft gripper end-effectors (Soft Robotics Inc., OnRobot) are closing this gap but not yet at production speed or reliability.
2. **Rapid reconfiguration for mass customisation:** Consumer demand for personalized products (custom EV configurations, made-to-order electronics) requires production lines that can switch products in hours. Today’s industrial robots take days to weeks to reprogram for new tasks. VLA fine-tuning pipelines offer a path to “teach once, generalize broadly” but remain at TRL 5 for industrial settings.
3. **SME accessibility:** The average industrial robot installation requires \$150K–\$300K in integration costs beyond hardware. Small- and medium-sized manufacturers cannot justify this ROI. The Robotics-as-a-Service (RaaS) model, combined with low-code cobot programming interfaces, is the critical enabler for democratizing automation beyond Tier 1 suppliers.
4. **Real-time digital twin synchronization:** Most factories operate with static process models. Real-time digital twins that update as production conditions change—and that drive robot behavior adjustments automatically—remain at TRL 6 in leading factories and TRL 3–4 in the broad manufacturing base.

7.1.3 Regional Recommendations: Manufacturing.

Manufacturing Outlook to 2035: The critical transition is from “robot as fixed tool” to “robot as adaptive co-worker.” The factories that win will be those that build proprietary robot-learning datasets from their own production floors—these datasets become a durable competitive moat that competitors cannot easily replicate, analogous to the training data advantage in language AI.

Table 8: Manufacturing Robotics: Regional Recommendations

Region	Priority Opportunity	Specific Recommendation
Europe	AI-enabled cobot upskilling for SMEs	Launch EU-funded “AI Cobot Voucher” scheme: subsidize VLA model fine-tuning kits for manufacturers with <250 employees. Target 50,000 SME deployments by 2030. Partner UR, Bosch Rexroth, and Fraunhofer institutes.
	Sustainable EV battery assembly automation	Develop soft robotic grippers and vision systems certified for lithium-cell handling (IEC 62619). EU battery gigafactories (Northvolt, ACC, Automotive Cells Co.) are the ready customer base.
Asia (China)	Industrial humanoid scale-up	Transition Unitree G1 and Fourier GR-2 from R&D pilot to production-line deployment in electronics assembly. Critical path: dexterous manipulation generalization using Chinese factory floor datasets. Target: 10,000 humanoid units deployed in factories by 2028.
	Electronics precision automation	Build VLA training infrastructure on China’s dominant PCB, semiconductor, and display panel manufacturing data. Develop China-specific end-effector tooling for ultra-thin component handling.
Asia (Japan/Korea)	Precision and quality-critical automation	Leverage FANUC, Yaskawa, and Kawasaki sensor-rich platforms for zero-defect manufacturing in aerospace, medical devices, and premium automotive. Integrate vision-language inspection models for real-time root-cause analysis.
United States	Humanoid-for-manufacturing software stack	Prioritize open datasets from Figure/BMW and Apptronik/Mercedes pilots. NSF should fund academic access to factory-floor robot learning data. Create NIST “Manufacturing Robot Learning Benchmark” analogous to MNIST for vision.
	Domestic manufacturing reshoring	CHIPS Act-style robotics manufacturing credits for US-based precision actuator and encoder production. Target: 30% US market share in cobot hardware by 2032, up from <5% today.

7.2 Logistics and Supply Chain

Sector Snapshot (2025): Amazon surpassed **1 million robots** in its global fulfillment network in mid-2025, powered by a new generative AI fleet-management foundation model (DeepFleet) [59]. DHL and Locus Robotics reached 1 billion warehouse picks. The delivery robot market is projected to reach \$10 B by 2035. Mobile robots will generate \$75 B in logistics revenue by 2030 [4].

7.2.1 Current State and Observations. Logistics is the sector where robotics has achieved the most verifiable, large-scale deployment. The combination of e-commerce growth (package volume at Amazon grew from 4.8 B in 2020 to 6.3 B in 2024), chronic driver and warehouse worker shortages, and the time-sensitivity of fulfillment has created an urgency for automation unparalleled in any other sector [62].

Intralogistics Transformation. Amazon’s fleet illustrates the current state of the art: Hercules AMRs (move 1,250 lb inventory pods), Pegasus sorters (precision conveyor belt routing), and Proteus (first fully autonomous mobile robot operating in open, shared human-robot workspaces) form a layered system managed by an AI orchestration layer [60]. The next-generation Shreveport fulfillment center required 30% more employees in reliability and maintenance roles despite higher automation density—a counter-intuitive finding that supports the “augmentation not replacement” thesis for near-term logistics robotics.

Autonomous Ground Delivery. Sidewalk delivery robots (Serve Robotics for Uber Eats, Coco, Cartken) are operational in multiple US cities. Transforma Insights projects 4.7 million delivery robots in use by 2032 [63]. Key constraints remain: sidewalk right-of-way regulations, adverse weather performance, and pavement accessibility. Amazon’s MK30 drone (launched late 2024) delivers sub-5 lb packages in ≤ 60 minutes in Phoenix and College Station, Texas [61].

AGV-to-AMR Transition. As of 2023, AGVs (wire-following vehicles) held 72% of the intralogistics mobile robot market. IDTechEx projects this will fall to $\sim 13\%$ by 2044 as SLAM-navigating AMRs (no infrastructure investment required) become cost-competitive [64]. The market inflection point is expected in the late 2020s when AMR unit costs fall below \$15,000—a threshold that makes 3-year ROI calculable for mid-size 3PLs (third-party logistics providers).

AI Fleet Orchestration. Amazon’s DeepFleet foundation model for fleet coordination represents the cutting edge: a generative AI model that dynamically routes, assigns, and re-optimizes robot task queues across thousands of robots in real time, accounting for battery state, congestion, worker locations, and order priorities. This is analogous to the shift from fixed-route GPS navigation to real-time adaptive traffic routing [60].

7.2.2 Technology Gaps in Logistics.

1. **Picking irregular and deformable items:** Grasping arbitrary SKUs (stock-keeping units) from bins—especially soft, multi-layered, or transparent objects—

remains robotics' hardest open logistics problem. Current picking robots achieve 95–99% success rates on “friendly” SKUs but fail on the long tail of items that represent 20–40% of e-commerce catalog diversity.

2. **Last-mile in urban density:** Sidewalk robots are constrained by pedestrian density, stairways, building access, and parcel handoff protocols. No fully autonomous urban last-mile system currently operates at commercial scale without significant human exception handling.
3. **Cross-dock and returns processing:** Returns (20–30% of e-commerce volume) require unpacking, inspecting, sorting, and restocking—a highly variable, unstructured task that no current robotic system handles end-to-end. This is the next major automation white space in logistics.
4. **Cold-chain and hazardous goods automation:** Pharmaceutical, food, and chemical logistics require temperature-controlled, contaminant-avoidance automation. Specialized robot end-effectors and certified environments are required that exceed standard warehouse robot specifications.

7.2.3 Regional Recommendations: Logistics.

Logistics Outlook to 2035: The logistics sector is on a path to near-full intralogistics automation at tier-1 operators (Amazon, Walmart, JD.com) by 2030, with broad 3PL adoption following by 2033. The defining competitive variable will be AI fleet orchestration intelligence, not hardware: the operator with the best real-time optimization model across heterogeneous robot fleets will achieve the largest throughput gains. Last-mile delivery will remain the hardest challenge, with human-assist hybrid models (robot carries to building entrance, human handles apartment delivery) likely to persist through 2035.

Table 9: Logistics Robotics: Regional Recommendations

Region	Priority Opportunity	Specific Recommendation
Europe	3PL and SME warehouse automation	Develop EU-standard AMR interoperability protocol (extending VDA 5050) enabling multi-vendor robot fleets in third-party logistics facilities. Critical for the fragmented European 3PL market (DHL, DB Schenker, Kuehne+Nagel) adopting automation incrementally.
	Sustainable last-mile delivery	Fund urban delivery robot trials in dense European city centers (Amsterdam, Paris, Berlin) with regulatory sandboxes. Electric sidewalk robots and cargo bikes with AMR offloading are environmentally superior to van delivery at <3kg payloads.
Asia (China)	Fulfillment automation at scale	Geekplus, Quicktron, and Hai Robotics are already global AMR leaders. Priority: invest in AI-driven returns processing automation—the fastest-growing logistics cost center in Chinese e-commerce (JD.com, Alibaba, Pinduoduo).
	Cross-border logistics robotics	Automate customs inspection, packing verification, and compliance documentation at Chinese export fulfillment hubs using multimodal vision models integrated with robotic sorting lines.
Asia (Japan)	Cold-chain and pharmaceutical logistics	Japan’s pharmaceutical and food industries are world-class export sectors with strict quality standards. Robotic cold-chain automation using precision AMRs and hygienic-design robot arms addresses a \$12B+ domestic automation gap.
United States	Open-world picking generalization	NSF/DARPA should fund a national “Long-Tail Picking Challenge” benchmark, analogous to ImageNet for picking generalization, with a public dataset of 100,000+ real-world logistics SKUs. Prize competitions have historically accelerated progress dramatically (cf. DARPA Grand Challenge for autonomous vehicles).
	Drone delivery regulatory framework	FAA should establish a national UTM (Unmanned Traffic Management) certification pathway for commercial ground and aerial delivery robots by 2027. Current fragmentation across 50 state regulatory regimes is the primary bottleneck to US drone delivery scaling.

7.3 Healthcare

Sector Snapshot (2024–2025): The global medical robotics market grew from \$12.8 B in 2024 toward a projected \$54 B by 2034 [69]. Robotic-assisted surgeries now exceed **2 million procedures annually** worldwide. The surgical robotics market is projected to grow from \$10 B (2023) to over \$14 B by 2026 [67]. AI-assisted robotic surgeries demonstrate a 25% reduction in operative time and a 30% decrease in intraoperative complications compared to manual methods [65].

7.3.1 Current State and Observations. Healthcare is the highest-stakes application domain for robotics, where failure consequences are measured in patient mortality and morbidity. It is also one of the fastest-growing, driven by three systemic forces: aging populations generating more complex surgical cases, physician shortages in many countries, and the demonstrable superiority of robotic precision in minimally invasive surgery.

Surgical Robotics: Disrupting Intuitive’s Monopoly. Intuitive Surgical’s da Vinci system dominated surgical robotics for two decades. This is changing rapidly: CMR Surgical (UK) received FDA clearance for Versius (gall bladder removal) in late 2024; Stryker Mako is expanding into shoulder and spine; Medical Microinstruments (MMI) and Moon Surgical received FDA authorization for microsurgical and open surgery robotics; Distalmotion, Procept BioRobotics, and Virtual Incision are all now cleared products [66]. Competition is driving cost reduction, making robotic surgery accessible to ambulatory surgery centers (ASCs) and mid-tier hospitals for the first time.

AI Integration in Surgical Guidance. Stryker’s Blueprint AI pre-operatively models patient anatomy to predict implant configurations. Intuitive’s Case Insights (da Vinci 5) analyses completed procedures to give surgeons post-operative performance feedback, with real-time feedback on the near-term roadmap [66]. The Smart Tissue Autonomous Robot (STAR) has demonstrated superior needle placement accuracy to expert surgeons in soft tissue surgery—the first documented instance of a robot outperforming experts on key surgical metrics.

Rehabilitation and Exoskeleton Therapy. Wearable exoskeleton systems (EksoNR, Lokomat, ReWalk) are clinically validated for stroke and spinal cord injury (SCI) rehabilitation. A randomized controlled trial demonstrated that 12 weeks of exoskeleton-based training significantly improved independent walking in incomplete SCI patients versus standard therapy [68]. Ekso Bionics reports ~60% of stroke patients using EksoNR during inpatient rehabilitation achieved ambulatory status by discharge. Soft exosuits (Harvard Biodesign Lab, MIT AgeLab) offer greater patient comfort and compliance than rigid exoskeletons—a critical factor for long-duration rehabilitation sessions.

Hospital Service Robots. Disinfection robots (Xenex, UVD Robots), pharmacy dispensing systems (ScriptPro SP 200), specimen transport robots, and telepresence systems for remote consultations are now standard infrastructure in leading hospitals

globally. These service robots operate in the “validated, structured” environment that robotic systems handle best—fixed corridors, predictable interactions, clear success metrics.

Brain-Computer Interfaces and Neural-Robotic Integration. The National Robotarium (Edinburgh) and AIT Austrian Institute of Technology are developing socially assistive robots for stroke recovery that use EEG neural activity to detect intended movements and provide closed-loop motor feedback, addressing the 69% non-completion rate of standard rehabilitation programs [67].

7.3.2 Technology Gaps in Healthcare.

1. **Haptic feedback in robotic surgery:** Current surgical robots provide no tactile force feedback to the surgeon, requiring visual compensation. The absence of haptics is the largest barrier to autonomous sub-task execution (e.g., suturing tension control). Integrated micro-force sensors and neuromorphic haptic displays are essential for the next generation of systems.
2. **Micro- and nano-robot medical systems:** Magnetically guided microrobots for GI tract navigation and targeted drug delivery are at TRL 3–4 globally. The critical path is biocompatible encapsulation materials, imaging-guided control (MRI-compatible actuation), and *in vivo* navigation validation.
3. **Eldercare robot acceptance and safety:** Companion and care robots for elderly populations face acceptance barriers (cultural, privacy, dignity concerns) and safety challenges (fall prevention, medication management, emergency escalation) that are insufficiently addressed by current systems. Co-design with elderly users and caregivers is essential, not an afterthought.
4. **Regulatory pathway speed:** FDA 510(k) clearance and CE marking for AI-enhanced surgical robots typically take 3–5 years. For software-only updates (new AI models), this creates a dangerous lag between research capability and clinical deployment. Adaptive regulatory pathways (FDA’s Digital Health Center of Excellence) are nascent and need acceleration.

7.3.3 Regional Recommendations: Healthcare.

Healthcare Outlook to 2035: Surgical robotics will reach commodity status in top-tier hospitals by 2030, with competitive pressure driving costs low enough for community hospitals by 2033. The next frontier is *cognitive assistance*—AI systems that guide less experienced surgeons through complex procedures in real time, democratizing surgical expertise globally. Eldercare robots will be the largest-volume healthcare robotics segment by unit count, driven by the demographic inevitability of population aging in every developed economy.

Table 10: Healthcare Robotics: Regional Recommendations

Region	Priority Opportunity	Specific Recommendation
Europe	Surgical robotics export platform	Position CMR Surgical (UK) and emerging EU entrants as the safety-certified, AI Act-compliant alternative to US surgical robots for NHS, EU hospital networks, and emerging market hospitals. Establish a dedicated “Surgical Robotics Fast Track” within EMA (European Medicines Agency) for AI-integrated robotic devices.
	Eldercare robot deployment at scale	Launch a pan-EU “Robot for Aging” program, analogous to Japan’s METI Robot Strategy, targeting deployment of 500,000 companion and ADL-assistance robots in EU care homes by 2030. Pilot in Netherlands, Germany, and Scandinavia where regulatory trust is highest.
Asia (Japan)	Global Eldercare robot leadership	Japan’s METI Robot Strategy and the unique social acceptance of care robots in Japanese culture create a unique competitive advantage. Invest \$5 B+ in developing robots that can assist with bathing, meal preparation, mobility assistance, and cognitive stimulation—and export this expertise to South Korea, EU, and eventually China.
Asia (China/Korea)	Surgical robotics domestic market	Develop China/Korea-certified surgical robotics platforms to reduce dependence on US-origin Intuitive Surgical systems. Micro Surgical Robot (Tinavi Medical) and Revo Surgical (China) are early entrants requiring investment and clinical validation at scale.
United States	AI-enhanced surgical autonomy research	NIH and NSF should fund a national program on “Supervised Surgical Autonomy”—defining the regulatory and technical standards for partial surgical task automation (suturing, knot tying, tissue dissection) under surgeon oversight. This is the clearest near-term autonomy application where AI exceeds human performance.
	Microrobot in-vivo navigation	DARPA should fund a “Microrobot Medical Navigation” challenge focused on the first demonstration of magnetically guided microrobot drug delivery in a large animal model by 2028, establishing US leadership in this pre-clinical frontier.

7.4 Field Applications: Agriculture, Construction, Mining, and Inspection

Sector Snapshot (2024–2025): The agricultural robotics market is projected to grow from \$16.62 B (2024) to \$103.5 B by 2032 (CAGR 25.7%) [74]. The mining robotics market is driven by autonomous haul trucks (Rio Tinto Pilbara: world’s largest autonomous truck fleet; China’s 56-truck fully autonomous coal fleet) [75]. Construction faces a **454,000-worker shortage** in the US alone in 2025 [76], creating acute demand for automation.

7.4.1 Current State and Observations. Field robotics operates in the most challenging environments for autonomous systems: unstructured, GPS-degraded, weather-exposed, terrain-variable. Progress has been slower than indoor robotics but is accelerating sharply as perception systems (LiDAR, multispectral imaging, event cameras) and AI navigation generalize to outdoor conditions.

Agriculture: The Fourth Agricultural Revolution. Seven functional categories of agricultural robot are commercially active: multi-purpose field platforms, harvesting, mechanical weeding, pest control/chemical weeding, scouting/monitoring, transplanting, and thinning [71]. Europe leads in agricultural robotics companies (nearly 50% of identified companies globally, centred in Netherlands, Germany, and France); the US leads in individual country count (22%), concentrated in California [72].

Key commercial systems include: Carbon Robotics Laser Weeder (raised \$70 M Series D, October 2024)—an autonomous machine using AI vision to eliminate weeds with precision laser pulses at 0% herbicide use; Burro harvest-assist robots for vineyards and orchards; DJI Agras drones for precision pesticide application; Small Robot Company per-plant data collection platforms [73].

Critical observation: Harvesting remains the most technically challenging frontier—soft fruit (strawberries, raspberries, tomatoes) is easily damaged, and picking speed must match or exceed human pickers to be economically viable. No harvesting robot has yet achieved fully autonomous commercial deployment at scale for soft fruit; human augmentation approaches (robot carries, human picks) are the pragmatic near-term solution.

Construction: Labour Shortages Drive Automation. Construction is the world’s second-largest economic sector but the least automated. Robotic applications include: bricklaying robots (Hadrian X, SAM100), rebar tying robots (TyBot), concrete spraying and 3D printing (ICON, Apis Cor—fully functional home in <24 hours), drone site survey, and Boston Dynamics Spot for daily progress inspection [76]. The productivity gap between leading robotic construction and manual methods is narrowing rapidly, driven by the economics of a chronic labor shortage.

Mining: Autonomous Haulage at Scale. Rio Tinto’s Pilbara operation runs what is arguably the world’s most commercially mature autonomous vehicle fleet; hundreds of autonomous haul trucks covering thousands of kilometers of mine roads. A smart coal mining project in northwestern China set a 2024 global record with 56 autonomous trucks operating alongside 800+ manned vehicles [75]. The 31 mining fatalities recorded

by MSHA in FY2024 are providing regulatory momentum for removing humans from the most hazardous zones through robotic inspection and explosive drones.

Inspection and Infrastructure. Drones and tethered crawlers are commercially deployed for bridge inspection, wind turbine blade assessment, pipeline corrosion detection, and power line patrol. The global infrastructure inspection drone market is growing at $\sim 20\%$ CAGR, driven by deferred maintenance backlogs in aged infrastructure (US: \$2.6 T infrastructure deficit; EU: 1 T+). Legged robots (Spot) offer the ability to inspect structures inaccessible to wheeled platforms or drones in GPS-denied environments.

7.4.2 Technology Gaps in Field Applications.

1. **Weather robustness and outdoor perception:** Current LiDAR and camera-based perception systems degrade in rain, fog, dust, and direct sunlight. Radar-fused perception and event camera technology are critical enabling research for reliable outdoor autonomy.
2. **Long-range energy autonomy:** Agricultural robots covering hectares, mining trucks operating 24/7, and inspection drones covering linear infrastructure all require energy autonomy beyond current battery limits. Hydrogen fuel cells, solar-assisted operation, and autonomous recharging stations are essential infrastructure investments.
3. **Soft-fruit harvesting dexterity:** No robotic system has achieved economically competitive soft-fruit picking. Combination of compliant gripper design, RGB-depth vision for ripeness estimation, and force-controlled arm motion planning are the required technology bundle. LCE-actuated soft grippers are the most promising materials approach.
4. **Underground GPS-denied navigation:** Mine tunnels, construction basements, and underground infrastructure deny GPS to mobile robots. Ultra-wideband (UWB) localization, LiDAR SLAM, and visual-inertial odometry are the current state of the art but require further robustness validation for full commercial deployment in dynamic underground environments.

7.4.3 Regional Recommendations: Field Applications.

Field Robotics Outlook to 2035: Mining and large-scale agriculture will achieve the highest autonomy levels in field robotics by 2030, because these environments, while harsh, have relatively predictable operational structures amenable to automation. Construction and soft-fruit harvesting will lag by 3–5 years due to the irreducible variability of the worksite and crop. The defining enabling technology across all field applications is **multi-sensor fusion robust to environmental degradation**—the problem that keeps the most capable field robots indoors.

Table 11: Field Robotics: Regional Recommendations

Region	Priority Opportunity	Specific Recommendation
Europe	Sustainable precision agriculture	EU Green Deal and Farm-to-Fork strategy create a legal imperative to reduce pesticide use 50% by 2030. Fund a 500 M “Precision Ag Robot” program deploying laser weeders, targeted spray drones, and soil health monitoring robots across EU farms. The Netherlands (Wageningen University) and Germany (Fraunhofer IFF) should lead.
	Infrastructure inspection and maintenance	Create an EU “Digital Infrastructure” mandate requiring automated drone inspection of all bridges, tunnels, and energy infrastructure on rolling 5-year cycles by 2030. This creates a guaranteed market for European inspection drone companies (Flyability, Percepto).
Asia (China)	Agricultural scale automation	China’s 14th Five-Year Plan targets 1,000+ digital agriculture factories. Priority: deploy autonomous transplanting, weeding, and monitoring robot platforms in large-scale rice and wheat cultivation. Chinese agricultural equipment manufacturers (XCMG, LOVOL) should integrate robotic autonomy modules.
	Smart mining expansion	Scale autonomous haulage from coal to copper, iron ore, and lithium mining (critical for EV supply chain). Develop Chinese-origin autonomous drilling and blasting robots to reduce the most hazardous human roles in open-pit operations.
Asia (Australia)	Mining autonomy global leadership	Australia’s Pilbara operation is the most advanced autonomous mining deployment globally. Rio Tinto and BHP should establish an open “Mining Robotics Consortium” to share safety data and establish global certification standards for autonomous haul trucks, analogous to aviation safety data sharing.
United States	Construction automation for reshoring	The US construction industry requires 454,000+ additional workers in 2025 alone. DARPA/NSF should fund an autonomous construction systems program targeting: autonomous rebar installation, concrete forming, and drywall installation, the most labor-intensive construction sub-tasks. Target 30% labor content reduction in commercial construction by 2030.
	Wildfire and disaster response robotics	FEMA and USFS should invest in autonomous wildfire mapping and suppression drone systems, addressing an annual wildfire management cost exceeding \$4 B/year. Unmanned aerial systems with thermal sensing and targeted fire retardant delivery are operationally ready for deployment at TRL 6–7.

7.5 Home and Service Robotics

Sector Snapshot (2025–2026): 1X Technologies’ NEO has begun home deliveries in 2026, the first genuinely general-purpose humanoid sold to private customers [80]. Tesla commenced Gen 3 Optimus mass production at Fremont in January 2026, targeting consumer sales at ~\$20,000 in late 2027 [77, 78]. Figure’s Figure 03, designed “from scratch” for home use, can fold clothes and load dishwashers but still requires human intervention for error recovery [80]. The humanoid market is projected to grow from \$70 M (2025) to \$6.5 B by 2030 at a 138% CAGR [4].

7.5.1 Current State and Observations. Home robotics is simultaneously the most hyped and most technically challenging robotics application. Household environments are the canonical example of unstructured, dynamic, human-inhabited spaces where virtually every assumption that makes factory automation tractable (fixed layout, known objects, controlled lighting, no children or pets) breaks down. Despite this, 2025–2026 marks a genuine inflection point: for the first time, credible commercial products are entering consumer homes.

The Humanoid Home Robot Moment. The key developments as of March 2026:

- **1X NEO:** Being delivered to private homes in 2026. Mermaid-style mobile manipulation platform with two dexterous arms. Focused on task learning via egocentric data collection and imitation.
- **Figure 03:** Redesigned for the Helix VLA model. Can fold clothes and load dishwashers as of early 2026. Manufactured at the new BotQ facility. Gap: still requires human error recovery for dropped items and cycle-starting [80].
- **Tesla Optimus Gen 3:** Mass production commenced January 2026 at Fremont. As of the Q4 2025 earnings call, Musk confirmed “no Optimus robots are doing useful work” in factories and the program is “still in R&D phase.” Consumer sales targeted for late 2027 at ~\$20,000 [77].
- **Unitree G1/H2:** Chinese competitor pursuing mass production for **industrial environments first**; no immediate plans for household deployment due to home safety standard complexity [50].
- **HMND 01 (Humanoid, UK):** Europe’s most commercially focused humanoid, designed for industrial contexts with safety-first compliance engineering aligned to EU AI Act.

The Gap Between Demonstration and Deployment. Rodney Brooks (co-founder of iRobot/Roomba) called Musk’s household robot vision “pure fantasy thinking” in 2025, arguing that “robots are coordination-challenged” for the irreducible complexity of home environments [79]. The honest assessment is that current-generation home robots can perform a handful of specific tasks reliably in structured conditions, but fall far short of the “general-purpose household assistant” framing used in commercial pitches. The key research barriers are: task diversity generalisation, safe operation

around children/pets, fine manipulation of deformable objects (clothing, food), and reliable failure recovery without human intervention.

Narrower Home Robots: The Success Story. While humanoids capture headlines, *narrow-purpose home robots* are the actual commercial success in this segment: the Roomba (iRobot) robotic vacuum has sold over 40 million units globally; lawn mowing robots (Husqvarna Automower, Honda Miimo) are mainstream garden products in Europe and North America; pool-cleaning, window-washing, and gutter-clearing robots serve niche but profitable markets. These narrow robots are likely to remain the dominant home robotics form factor through 2030 as they solve a well-defined problem in a constrained domain without requiring general intelligence.

Companion and Social Robots. Softbank’s Pepper (limited commercial success but significant research impact), Misty Robotics, Embodied’s Moxie (child social learning), and ElliQ (Intuition Robotics, eldercare companionship) represent the social robot segment. Systematic reviews confirm that companion robots reduce loneliness and increase engagement in elderly care settings, but user acceptance is highly culture-dependent [70].

7.5.2 Technology Gaps in Home Service Robotics.

1. **Generalised household manipulation:** Folding arbitrary clothing items, loading a dishwasher with unknown crockery configurations, preparing a meal from a recipe—each of these individually is at the frontier of robotic capability in 2026. The EgoMimic approach (learning from egocentric human video) is the most promising data-efficient path to covering this diversity [29].
2. **Safe operation with children and pets:** ISO standards for collaborative robots (ISO/TS 15066) do not address operation in homes with unsupervised children, who may interact unpredictably with robots. A home robotics safety standard that goes beyond industrial-grade force limiting is essential before meaningful consumer deployment.
3. **Privacy and data security:** A general-purpose home robot is by definition a 24/7 audio-visual recording device inside the most intimate space in human life. On-device inference (no cloud data transmission) using neuromorphic or compressed VLA models is the only architecture compatible with genuine user privacy. This is an open engineering challenge as of 2026.
4. **Cost-to-utility ratio:** At \$20,000–\$30,000, current humanoid price points make the ROI case for home purchase difficult for the median household. The threshold for mass consumer adoption is likely \$5,000–\$8,000, requiring another 3–5x cost reduction through manufacturing scale and actuator price reduction.
5. **Reliable failure recovery:** Home robots will frequently encounter tasks they cannot complete or make errors (spill, drop, jam). A graceful failure-recovery protocol, detect failure, communicate to user, request assistance, or attempt safe retry, is a critical capability almost entirely absent from current systems.

Table 12: Home and Service Robotics: Regional Recommendations

Region	Priority Opportunity	Specific Recommendation
Europe	Regulatory leadership for home robots	The EU AI Act applies to humanoid robots in homes as high-risk AI systems. Develop a “Home Robot Safety Standard” (extending ISO/TS 15066) through CEN/CENELEC by 2028, covering: operation with children and pets, privacy-preserving on-device processing, emergency escalation protocols, and fail-safe behavior. European companies compliant with this standard will have a global export advantage.
	Eldercare companion robots	Europe’s 100+ million population over 65 creates a ready market. Horizon Europe should fund 10 national-scale eldercare robot deployment programs (2026–2031), generating the real-world interaction data needed to close the generalization gap. Partner with Japan (METI) to share eldercare robot training data bilaterally.
Asia (Japan)	Companion robot cultural leadership	Japan’s unique cultural acceptance of robots (concept of <i>animism</i> applied to technology) is a structural advantage. Build a national eldercare companion robot program deploying 1 million units in Japanese care homes by 2030, with multilingual/multicultural export versions for global markets developed through NEDO funding.
Asia (China)	Mass-market narrow home robots	China leads in consumer electronics manufacturing cost reduction. Apply this to narrow-purpose home robots: advanced robotic vacuums (Roborock, Dreame already world leaders), window cleaning, laundry folding machines (Seven Dreamers approach). Achieve \$1,000–\$3,000 price point for single-task home robots before pursuing general humanoids for the home.
United States	Egocentric data collection at scale	Fund a national “Home Robot Learning Dataset” program: deploy 1,000 data-collection robots in consenting US households to record egocentric video of all ADLs over 2-year periods. This dataset: analogous to Open X-Embodiment but for home environments, would be the most valuable robotics training resource in the world. NSF/DARPA co-funding with IRB oversight is the appropriate structure.
	Privacy-preserving on-device VLA inference	ARPA-E / NSF should fund a national program on compressed, privacy-preserving VLA models that can run fully on embedded edge compute (no cloud dependency) at home-robot inference speeds (≤ 50 ms latency). This is the critical enabler for

7.5.3 Regional Recommendations: Home Service Robotics.

Home Robotics Outlook to 2035: The road to the general-purpose household robot is a decade-long journey, not a 2-year product launch. The realistic scenario by 2035: **narrow-purpose home robots** (vacuum, lawn mowing, laundry folding, window cleaning) are mainstream products in developed economies; **limited humanoid home assistants** are commercially available to early adopters at \$10,000–\$15,000 performing 5–10 household tasks reliably; and **eldercare companion robots** are deployed at institutional scale in Japan, South Korea, and Northern Europe. The honest message to policymakers: invest heavily in the data infrastructure and safety standards now, so that 2030 deployments are genuinely safe and trusted—not just impressive demos.

7.6 Sector Comparison: Technology Readiness and Investment Priority

Table 13: Cross-Sector Robotics Maturity and Investment Priority Matrix (2026)

Sector	TRL (2026)	Market CAGR	Investment Priority	Defining Technical Challenge
Manufacturing (cobots)	8–9	35.2%	High	VLA-based rapid task re-configuration for SMEs
Manufacturing (humanoids)	4–5	138%	High	Dexterous manipulation at industrial speeds
Logistics (intralogistics)	8–9	14–20%	Medium	Long-tail picking of irregular items
Logistics (last mile)	5–6	30%+	High	Regulatory harmonisation + urban navigation
Healthcare (surgical)	7–8	16%+	High	Haptic feedback and partial autonomy
Healthcare (elder-care)	4–5	20%+	High	Social acceptance + ADL generalisation
Agriculture	5–6	25.7%	High	Soft-fruit harvesting dexterity
Mining	7–8	15%+	Medium	Underground navigation + gas safety
Construction	4–5	20%+	High	Task diversity in unstructured sites
Home (narrow-purpose)	7–8	12%+	Medium	Cost reduction and feature expansion
Home (humanoid general)	3–4	138%	Medium	Safety, generalization, cost, and privacy

8 Cross-Cutting Strategic Themes

8.1 Data: The New Scarcest Resource

Unlike language AI, where internet-scale corpora are freely available, robot learning requires *embodied interaction data*—expensive to collect, hardware-specific, and difficult to standardize. Open X-Embodiment [12] demonstrated that aggregating multi-robot, multi-task data across 22 labs yields models that out-generalize single-source datasets. The imperative for the next five years is to build:

- **Open data consortia** analogous to Common Crawl for language, pooling robot demonstration data across institutions and companies.
- **Synthetic data pipelines** (NVIDIA Cosmos, GENESIS) that produce photo-realistic, physically plausible demonstrations at scale without hardware cost.
- **Data management tooling** (Robo-DM [36], ICRA 2025) for versioning, quality filtering, and retrieval across large robot datasets.
- **Egocentric video as a data source** (EgoMimic [29]): human first-person video of household tasks is an untapped dataset of billions of hours, transferable to robot policies with appropriate cross-embodiment architectures.

8.2 The Humanoid Convergence Race

Humanoid robots represent the highest-value and highest-risk sector of the 2025–2035 horizon. Three distinct competitive strategies are emerging:

- **US strategy (software-first)**: Tesla Optimus, Figure, Apptikon, and 1X Technologies prioritize AI policy learning and large-scale data collection, treating hardware as a commodity.
- **China strategy (scale-first)**: Unitree, Fourier, and Agibot prioritize manufacturing scale and cost reduction, targeting the factory floor with price-competitive hardware.
- **European strategy (trust-first)**: Neura Robotics and Humanoid (UK) emphasize regulatory compliance, safety certification, and human-centred design aligned with the EU AI Act [51, 52].

8.3 Sustainability and Circular Robotics

As robot deployment scales to millions of units, lifecycle sustainability becomes a critical design constraint. Research priorities include:

- Biodegradable structural materials for single-use medical and environmental monitoring robots [41].
- Energy-efficient actuation (electroactive polymers, LCEs) to reduce battery weight and replacement frequency.

- Circular economy frameworks for end-of-life robot disassembly, particularly for rare-earth-containing actuators and magnets.

8.4 Workforce and Societal Impacts

McKinsey Global Institute estimates up to 375 million workers globally may need to change occupational categories by 2030 due to automation. The robotics community has a responsibility to:

- Design human-in-the-loop systems that augment rather than replace workers (exoskeleton-assisted logistics, collaborative assembly).
- Invest in retraining ecosystems alongside deployment—Germany’s HTS program includes this explicitly.
- Develop explainable, auditable AI decision-making so workers can meaningfully oversee and correct robot behavior.

8.5 Geopolitical Technology Risks

The robotics supply chain is acutely exposed to US-China technology competition:

- China’s export controls on gallium, germanium, and rare-earth processing (2024–2025) threaten global motor and sensor supply chains [51].
- US export controls on advanced semiconductor equipment affect robot compute platforms.
- Europe’s SPARC/euRobotics and the EU Chips Act attempt to build strategic autonomy, but full supply chain independence is a decade-long project.
- International standards bodies (ISO/TC 299, IEC) are critical neutral ground for establishing common safety norms that avoid geopolitical lock-in.

9 Recommended Research Priorities by Region

9.1 Europe: Priority Research Agenda 2025–2035

1. **Safe VLA Fine-Tuning for European Industrial Contexts** (TRL 4→7 by 2028): Develop EU-dataset-driven VLA adaptation pipelines for automotive, aerospace, and food manufacturing, with built-in AI Act conformity checks. Consortium: IIT, ETH, TU Delft, INRIA, Bosch AI.
2. **Soft Robotic Medical Devices and Surgical Assistance** (TRL 4→7 by 2029): Build on IIT’s iCub soft-tissue expertise and CMR Surgical’s platform. Priority applications: flexible endoscopy, catheter robotics, and rehabilitation exosuits using LCE and EAP actuation.
3. **Eldercare Robot Companions** (TRL 3→6 by 2030): Address Europe’s rapidly ageing population with socially competent, legally compliant companion robots. Priority dimensions: natural language understanding in 24 EU languages, physiological monitoring, and fall prevention.
4. **Agricultural and Food-Chain Robotics** (TRL 5→8 by 2030): Precision harvesting, soil health monitoring, and greenhouse automation robots leveraging Europe’s agri-tech cluster (Netherlands, Germany, France).
5. **Actuator Supply Chain Sovereignty** (TRL 3→6 by 2032): Materials R&D to develop European-sourced alternatives to rare-earth-dependent actuators, magnetoalloy alloys, advanced piezoceramics, and synthetic muscle fiber composites.

9.2 Asia: Priority Research Agenda 2025–2035

1. **Industrial Humanoid Manipulation Generalisation** (China, South Korea): VLA fine-tuning on Asian manufacturing datasets to achieve reliable dexterous assembly across electronics, EV battery, and semiconductor production lines.
2. **Eldercare and ADL Robotics** (Japan, South Korea, Singapore): Personal care robots for bathing assistance, meal preparation, and cognitive stimulation. Critical: cultural sensitivity in HRI design and compliance with national privacy frameworks.
3. **Micro- and Nano-Robot Medical Systems** (Japan, Singapore): Leveraging precision manufacturing heritage for magnetically guided micro-robots for targeted drug delivery and minimally invasive surgery.
4. **Multilingual and Multicultural VLA Models**: Curate large-scale Asian language-conditioned robot demonstration datasets and develop VLA training pipelines for Chinese, Japanese, Korean, and South-East Asian languages.
5. **Agriculture and Food Security** (ASEAN+): Affordable (<\$10K) harvesting and monitoring robots for rice, palm oil, and aquaculture, engineered for tropical conditions and smallholder farm scales.

9.3 United States: Priority Research Agenda 2025–2035

1. **Scaling Embodied Foundation Models:** Continue scaling VLA model size (10B+), training data (millions of robot-hours), and architectural innovation (harmonic reasoning, world models). NSF should fund academic access to compute for open VLA development.
2. **Safety-Critical Autonomy for Defense:** DARPA and DoD should fund formal verification methods for autonomous systems operating in adversarial environments, combining RAIL-style reachability constraints with adaptive behavior.
3. **Human-Machine Teaming Science:** Invest in fundamental research on trust calibration, shared mental models, and autonomy level adjustment in human-robot teams, drawing on CHART (Georgia Tech) and similar centers.
4. **Open Robotics Infrastructure:** Fund open platforms (ROS 2 next generation, OpenVLA expansion, ManiSkill4) to maintain US leadership in setting global robotics software standards.
5. **Domestic Actuator Manufacturing:** CHIPS-Act-style incentives for domestic production of precision motors, encoders, and servo systems to reduce supply chain vulnerability.

10 Conclusion

Robotics is at an inflection point. The convergence of physical AI, advanced materials, and high-performance computing is creating robots that, for the first time, can be instructed in natural language to perform novel tasks in unstructured environments, not just pre-programmed operations in constrained settings. This is a discontinuous shift, not an incremental one.

The decade 2025–2035 will be defined by three races:

1. **The Foundation Model Race:** Who builds the most capable, most general VLA architecture? Today, US-based Physical Intelligence, Google DeepMind, and NVIDIA lead. China’s InternVLA ecosystem and EU-funded collaborative projects are credible challengers. The winner will likely define the “Android of Robotics”, the underlying intelligence platform on which all applications are built.
2. **The Hardware Race:** Who achieves mass-produced, affordable humanoid hardware? China leads in manufacturing scale and rare-earth supply; the US leads in dynamic locomotion platforms; Europe leads in safety-compliant cobot design. South Korea’s Hyundai/Boston Dynamics combination is the most vertically integrated player.
3. **The Regulatory and Trust Race:** Who establishes the standards by which robots are certified, deployed, and governed? The EU AI Act is the first mover. US, China, Japan, and international standards bodies (ISO, IEC) will respond, and interoperability between regulatory frameworks will determine whether global deployment is possible or whether the world fragments into incompatible robotics markets.

No single region will “win” robotics. The most likely outcome, and the most beneficial for humanity, is a world of complementary specialization: European robots distinguished by safety and human-centered values; Asian robots distinguished by scale and cost efficiency; American robots distinguished by intelligence and autonomy. The challenge for policymakers and researchers alike is to build the international cooperation frameworks: data sharing agreements, safety standard harmonization, supply chain diversification, that prevent geopolitical competition from fracturing what is inherently a global technology.

The robots of 2035 will not be the robots we imagine today. They will be softer, more compliant, more conversational, more aware. They will learn from watching humans, fail gracefully, and ask for help when uncertain. They will be embedded in hospitals, farms, factories, and in our homes. The roadmap for getting there is hard, but the direction is clear.

Acknowledgements

This roadmap synthesizes publicly available peer-reviewed research and industry intelligence. The author acknowledges the ICRA, IROS, RSS, CoRL, NeurIPS, and ICML program committees for curating the research landscape on which this analysis is based, and the International Federation of Robotics for its annual World Robotics Reports. In addition, the author has benefitted from discussions with numerous industry and academic people as part of the National Roadmapping effort.

References

- [1] International Federation of Robotics (IFR). *World Robotics Report 2025: Industrial Robots*. IFR Statistical Department, Frankfurt, September 2025. URL: <https://ifr.org>
- [2] International Federation of Robotics (IFR). *World Robotics Report 2024: Industrial Robots*. IFR Statistical Department, Frankfurt, September 2024.
- [3] International Federation of Robotics (IFR). *World Robotics R&D Programs 2025*. IFR Research Committee, Frankfurt, February 2025. URL: <https://ifr.org/ifr-press-releases/news/robotics-research-government-programs-asia-europe-and-america-2025>
- [4] ABI Research. *The Global Robotics Market Outlook 2025–2030*. ABI Research, Oyster Bay, NY, 2025. URL: <https://www.abiresearch.com/blog/global-robotics-market-outlook>
- [5] IMARC Group. *Robotics Market Size, Share and Trends 2025–2033*. IMARC Group, 2024.
- [6] Research and Markets / BCC Publishing. *Global Market for Robotics: 2024–2029*. BCC Publishing, Wellesley, MA, 2024.
- [7] IEEE Robotics and Automation Society. *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA 2025)*, Atlanta, Georgia, May 19–23, 2025. IEEE, Piscataway, NJ.
- [8] IEEE Robotics and Automation Society. *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA 2024)*, Yokohama, Japan, May 13–17, 2024. IEEE, Piscataway, NJ.
- [9] Conference on Robot Learning. *Proceedings of the 8th Conference on Robot Learning (CoRL 2024)*, Munich, Germany, November 6–9, 2024.
- [10] Conference on Robot Learning. *Proceedings of the 9th Conference on Robot Learning (CoRL 2025)*, Seoul, South Korea, September 27 – October 2, 2025.
- [11] Neural Information Processing Systems Foundation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS 2024)*, Vancouver, Canada, December 2024.
- [12] A. O’Neill, A. Rehman, A. Maddukuri, et al. (Open X-Embodiment Collaboration). Open X-Embodiment: Robotic Learning Datasets and RT-X Models. In *Proceedings of ICRA 2024* (Best Paper Award), 2024. arXiv:2310.08864.
- [13] K. Black, N. Brown, D. Driess, et al. (Physical Intelligence). π_0 : A Vision-Language-Action Flow Model for General Robot Control. *Technical Report*, Physical Intelligence, November 2024. arXiv:2410.24164v1.
- [14] M. Kim, K. Pertsch, S. Karamcheti, et al. OpenVLA: An Open-Source Vision-Language-Action Model. In *Proceedings of CoRL 2024*, Munich, 2024. arXiv:2406.09246.

- [15] Octo Model Team (D. Ghosh, H. Walke, K. Black, et al.). Octo: An Open-Source Generalist Robot Policy. In *Proceedings of RSS 2024*, Delft, Netherlands, 2024. arXiv:2405.12213.
- [16] S. Liu, L. Wu, B. Li, et al. RDT-1B: A Diffusion Foundation Model for Bimanual Manipulation. *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR 2025)*, 2024. arXiv:2410.07864.
- [17] Generalist AI Team. GEN-0: Embodied Foundation Models That Scale with Physical Interaction. *Generalist AI Blog*, November 2025. URL: <https://generalistai.com/blog/nov-04-2025-GEN-0>
- [18] J. Cai, Z. Cai, J. Cao, et al. (Shanghai AI Laboratory). InternVLA-A1: Unifying Understanding, Generation and Action for Robotic Manipulation. *arXiv:2601.02456*, 2026.
- [19] NVIDIA Corporation. *Isaac GR00T: Open Platform for Humanoid Robot Development*. Technical Overview, NVIDIA, Santa Clara, CA, 2025. arXiv:2503.14734
- [20] K. Chen, L. Fu, D. Huang, et al. Physics-Aware Robotic Palletization with Online Masking Inference. In *Proceedings of ICRA 2025 (Best Automation Paper)*, 2025.
- [21] W. Jung, D. Anthony, U. Mishra, N. Arachchige, M. Bronars, D. Xu, S. Kousik. RAIL: Reachability-Aided Imitation Learning for Safe Policy Execution. In *Proceedings of ICRA 2025*, 2025.
- [22] A.J. Miller, F. Yu, M. Brauckmann, F. Farshidian. High-Performance Reinforcement Learning Policy Deployment on Boston Dynamics Spot. In *Proceedings of ICRA 2025*, RAI Institute, 2025.
- [23] C. Wang, D. Nguyen, Z. Teoh, C. O’Neill, L. Odhner, P. Whitney, M. Estrada. Pneumatic Rolling Diaphragm Gripper for Adaptive Grasping. In *Proceedings of ICRA 2025*, RAI Institute, 2025.
- [24] Y. Shirai, T. Zhao, H. Suh, H. Zhu, X. Ni, J. Wang, M. Simchowitz, T. Pang. Contact Smoothing for Linear Feedback Controllers in Contact-Rich Manipulation. In *Proceedings of ICRA 2025*, RAI Institute, 2025.
- [25] T. Wang, N. Mankame, M. Fernandez, V. Kojouharov, D. Goldman. AquaMILR: Mechanical Intelligence Simplifies Control of Undulatory Robots in Cluttered Fluid Environments. In *Proceedings of ICRA 2025*, Georgia Tech, 2025.
- [26] Z. Zhang, P. Tsiotras. Residual Descent Differential Dynamic Game (RD3G): A Fast Newton Solver for Constrained General Sum Games. In *Proceedings of ICRA 2025*, Georgia Tech, 2025.
- [27] D. Butterfield, S. Garimella, N. Cheng, L. Gan. MI-HGNN: Morphology-Informed Heterogeneous Graph Neural Network for Legged Robot Contact Perception. In *Proceedings of ICRA 2025*, Georgia Tech, 2025.
- [28] Y. Hsu, M. Defranco, R. Patel, S. Nikolaidis. Integrating Field of View in Human-Aware Collaborative Planning. In *Proceedings of ICRA 2025*, USC, 2025.

- [29] S. Kareer, D. Patel, R. Punamiya, P. Mathur, S. Cheng, C. Wang, J. Hoffman, Xu. EgoMimic: Scaling Imitation Learning via Egocentric Video. In *Proceedings of ICRA 2025*, Georgia Tech, 2025.
- [30] E. Schneider, D. Wu, D. Das, S. Chernova. CE-MRS: Contrastive Explanations for Multi-Robot Systems. In *Proceedings of ICRA 2025*, Georgia Tech, 2025.
- [31] N. Shah, B. Osinski, B. Ichter, et al. Vision-Language Frontier Maps for Zero-Shot Semantic Navigation. In *Proceedings of ICRA 2024*, 2024.
- [32] M. Elnoor, K. Weerakoon, G. Seneviratne, et al. VLM-GroNav: Robot Navigation Using Physically Grounded Vision-Language Models in Outdoor Environments Grounding. In *Proceedings of ICRA 2025*, 2025.
- [33] J. Hossain, A. Faridee, D. Asher, et al. QuasiNav: Asymmetric Cost-Aware Navigation Planning with Constrained Quasimetric Reinforcement Learning In *Proceedings of ICRA 2025*, 2025.
- [34] Z. Song, X. Li, S. Jain, et al. RoboSpatial: Teaching Spatial Understanding to 2D and 3D Vision-Language Models for Robotics. In *Proceedings of CVPR 2025*, 2025.
- [35] S. Tao, F. Xiang, A. Shukla, et al. ManiSkill3: GPU Parallelized Robotics Simulation and Rendering for Generalizable Embodied AI. *arXiv:2410.00425*, 2024.
- [36] K. Chen, L. Fu, D. Huang, Y. Zhang, et al. Robo-DM: Data Management For Large Robot Datasets. *arXiv:2505.15558*, 2025.
- [37] R. Firoozi, J. Tucker, S. Tian, A. Majumdar, et al. Foundation Models in Robotics: Applications, Challenges, and the Future. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 2024. *arXiv:2312.07843*.
- [38] M. Khan, A. Waheed. Foundation Model Driven Robotics: A Comprehensive Review. *arXiv:2507.10087*, 2025.
- [39] Y. Kim et al. Robot Learning in the Era of Foundation Models: A Survey. *Neurocomputing*, vol. 638, 2025.
- [40] Y. Liu, W. Chen, Y. Bai, et al. Aligning Cyber Space With Physical World: A Comprehensive Survey on Embodied AI *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, 2025.
- [41] M.J. Sarker et al. A Review on Recent Trends of Bioinspired Soft Robotics: Actuators, Control Methods, Materials Selection, Sensors, Challenges, and Future Prospects. *Advanced Intelligent Systems*, 2025. 10.1002/aisy.202400414
- [42] X. Author et al. Advancements in Soft Robotics: A Comprehensive Review on Actuation Methods, Materials, and Applications. *Polymers*, 16(8):1087, 2024. 10.3390/polym16081087
- [43] Z. Chen et al. A Review of Smart Materials for the Boost of Soft Actuators, Soft Sensors, and Robotics Applications. *Chinese Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, 35:1–29, 2022. 10.1186/s10033-022-00707-2

- [44] M. Enyan, Z. Bing, et al. Advances in Smart Materials Soft Actuators on Mechanisms, Fabrication, Materials, and Multifaceted Applications: A Review. *Journal of Elastomers & Plastics*, 2025. 10.1177/08927057241248028
- [45] B. Dong et al. Recent Advances in Stimuli-Responsive Materials and Soft Robotic Actuators for Bioelectronic Medicine. *Advanced Materials*, 37:2417325, 2025. 10.1002/adma.202417325
- [46] Z. Zhu, D. Wang, M. Zhang, et al. Multimaterial 3D Printed Soft Robots with Embedded Actuation and Sensing. *Science Advances*, 2025. 10.1126/sciadv.adz2928
- [47] ICRA 2025 Workshop Organisers. Towards Agility and Robustness: Mechanical Intelligence in Robotics, Biology, and Smart Materials. Workshop at ICRA 2025, Atlanta, May 2025.
- [48] ICRA 2025 Workshop Organisers. Foundation Models and Neural-Symbolic AI for Robotics. Workshop at ICRA 2025, Atlanta, May 2025.
- [49] European Parliament and Council of the European Union. *Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down harmonised rules on artificial intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act)*. Official Journal of the European Union, July 2024.
- [50] Six Degrees of Robotics Editorial. Robotics at a Crossroads: What Europe Must Do Next. *Six Degrees of Robotics Newsletter*, March 2025. URL: <https://sixdegreesofrobotics.substack.com/p/robotics-at-a-crossroads-what-europe>
- [51] T. Harrison. Why Europe Could Quietly Win the Humanoid Race. *The Next Web (TNW)*, August 2025. URL: <https://thenextweb.com/news/europe-humanoid-robotics-strategy>
- [52] Financier Worldwide. Automation Revolution: Robotics VC Funding in Europe. *Financier Worldwide Magazine*, 2025. URL: <https://www.financierworldwide.com/automation-revolution-robotics-vc-funding-in-europe>
- [53] 36Kr Global. World Robotics Report 2025 Released: China Dominates Global Market Share. *36Kr English Edition*, September 2025. URL: <https://eu.36kr.com/en/p/3483537185168257>
- [54] Hanwha Robotics. 5 Insights on How Cobots Are Transforming Industry. *Hanwha Newsroom*, 2025. URL: <https://www.hanwha.com/newsroom/news/feature-stories>
- [55] R. Shah, A. Doss, N. Lakshmaiya. Advancements in AI-Enhanced Collaborative Robotics: Towards Safer, Smarter, and Human-Centric Industrial Automation. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 2025. 10.1016/j.rcim.2025.xxx
- [56] Research and Markets. *Industrial Robots Research Report 2025: Moving from Automation to Autonomy – Humanoid, Collaborative & AI-Driven Robotics Reshape Manufacturing*. Business Wire, November 2025.

- [57] Rockwell Automation. 8 Key Industrial Automation Trends in 2025. *The Journal*, Rockwell Automation, 2025. URL: <https://www.rockwellautomation.com>
- [58] Robotnik. Industrial Robotics in 2025: Trends, Figures, and Global Outlook. *Robotnik Blog*, December 2025. URL: <https://robotnik.eu>
- [59] Amazon. Amazon Hits 1 Million Robots as AI Transforms Warehouse Operations. *About Amazon News*, July 2025. URL: <https://www.aboutamazon.com>
- [60] Amazon Robotics. Amazon Launches a New AI Foundation Model to Power its Robot Fleet (DeepFleet). *About Amazon News*, July 2025. URL: <https://www.aboutamazon.com/news/operations/amazon-million-robots-ai-foundation-model>
- [61] Amazon Prime Air. Amazon MK30 Drone Launch: Deliveries in Phoenix and College Station. *About Amazon News*, Late 2024.
- [62] Jacobin Magazine. A Sober Look at Amazon’s Automation Drive. *Jacobin*, December 2025. URL: <https://jacobin.com/2025/12/amazon-robots-automation-workforce-ai>
- [63] Association for Advancing Automation (A3). Reimagining the Last Mile: The Growing Role of Delivery Robots. *Automate.org Blog*, 2025. URL: <https://www.automate.org/robotics/blogs/reimagining-the-last-mile-the-growing-role-of-delivery-robots>
- [64] IDTechEx. *Mobile Robotics in Logistics, Warehousing and Delivery 2024–2044*. IDTechEx Research Report, 2024.
- [65] J. Wah. The Rise of Robotics and AI-Assisted Surgery in Modern Healthcare: Systematic Review of 25 Studies (2024–2025). *PMC*, 2025. URL: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12181090>
- [66] American Hospital Association (AHA). 3 Ways Robotic Surgery Is Changing Health Care This Year. *AHA Center for Health Innovation Market Scan*, March 2025. URL: <https://www.aha.org/aha-center-health-innovation-market-scan>
- [67] World Economic Forum. 6 Ways That Robotics Are Transforming Healthcare. *WEF Stories*, June 2025. URL: <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/06/robots-medical-industry-healthcare>
- [68] Johns Hopkins Engineering for Professionals. The Future of Robotics in Healthcare. *JHU EP Online*, August 2025. URL: <https://ep.jhu.edu/news/robots-making-a-difference-in-healthcare>
- [69] Robotics Tomorrow. How Robotics Applications Are Transforming Healthcare and Manufacturing Efficiency. *RoboticsTomorrow.com*, August 2025.
- [70] Frontiers in Robotics and AI. Editorial: Interactive Robots for Healthcare and Participation. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, November 2025. 10.3389/frobt.2025.1750188

- [71] A. Bertoglio, M. Confalonieri, et al. A Systematic Review of 59 Field Robots for Agricultural Tasks: Applications, Trends, and Future Directions. *Agronomy*, 15(9):2185, MDPI, September 2025. URL: [10.3390/agronomy15092185](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy15092185)
- [72] The Mixing Bowl. The 2025 Crop Robotics Landscape: Mapping Progress and Possibility. *The Mixing Bowl Hub*, November 2025. URL: <https://www.mixingbowlhub.com/landscape/the-2025-crop-robotics-landscape>
- [73] CREO Family Office Syndicate. *Agriculture Robotics: Technologies Enabling the Fourth Agricultural Revolution*. CREO Report, 2025. URL: <https://www.creosyndicate.org>
- [74] Fresh Consulting. Robots in Agriculture: Transforming the Future of Farming. *Fresh Consulting Insights Blog*, January 2026. URL: <https://www.freshconsulting.com/insights/blog>
- [75] Persistence Market Research. Mining Robotics Market Size & Growth Analysis, 2025–2033. *Persistence Market Research*, 2025. URL: <https://www.persistencemarketresearch.com/market-research/mining-robotics-market>
- [76] Texas A&M University / ABC. Embracing Construction Robotics: The Future of Construction Field Operations. *Texas A&M Engineering News*, July 2025. URL: <https://www.arch.tamu.edu/news/2025/07/12/embracing-construction-robotics>
- [77] BotInfo / Interesting Engineering. Tesla Optimus: 2025–2026 Capabilities and Current Limitations. *BotInfo Analysis*, February 2026. URL: <https://botinfo.ai/articles/tesla-optimus>
- [78] Trusted Reviews. Tesla Robots Are Coming to the Home in 2027. *Trusted Reviews*, January 2026. URL: <https://www.trustedreviews.com>
- [79] Wikipedia. Optimus (robot) — Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopaedia. URL: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Optimus_\(robot\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Optimus_(robot))
- [80] Wins Solutions. Innovative Humanoid Robots in 2025–2026: Reality or Hype? *WinsSolutions.org*, December 2025. URL: <https://www.winssolutions.org/humanoid-robots-2025-2026-reality-hype>
- [81] C. Paxton. ICRA 2025 Was Bigger and More Serious. *It Can Think Newsletter*, June 2025. URL: <https://itcankthink.substack.com/p/icra-2025-was-bigger-and-more-serious>
- [82] RAI Institute. ICRA 2025 Publication Round-Up. *RAI Institute Blog*, May 2025. URL: <https://rai-inst.com/resources/blog/icra-2025-publication-round-up/>

A Glossary of Key Terms

ADL	Activities of Daily Living
AI Act	European Union Artificial Intelligence Act (2024)
AMR	Autonomous Mobile Robot
CAP	Common Agricultural Policy (EU)
CAGR	Compound Annual Growth Rate
CoRL	Conference on Robot Learning
CMDP	Constrained Markov Decision Process
cobot	Collaborative Robot
DARPA	Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (USA)
DE	Dielectric Elastomer
DLR	Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (German Aerospace Centre)
DLP	Digital Light Processing
DIW	Direct Ink Writing
DoD	Department of Defense (USA)
DVS	Dynamic Vision Sensor
EAP	Electroactive Polymer
EPFL	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
HRI	Human-Robot Interaction
ICRA	IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation
IFR	International Federation of Robotics
INRIA	Institut National de Recherche en Sciences et Technologies du Numérique (France)
IROS	IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems
IIT	Italian Institute of Technology
LCE	Liquid Crystal Elastomer
LLM	Large Language Model
NeurIPS	Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems

NSF	National Science Foundation (USA)
PVDF	Polyvinylidene Fluoride
RL	Reinforcement Learning
ROS	Robot Operating System
RSS	Robotics: Science and Systems Conference
SMA	Shape Memory Alloy
SPARC	Strategic Plan for Robotics in Europe
TRL	Technology Readiness Level (1=basic research, 9=full deployment)
VLA	Vision-Language-Action Model
VLM	Vision-Language Model
XAI	Explainable Artificial Intelligence

B Conference Reference Guide

Table 14: Primary Robotics and AI Research Venues Referenced

Acronym	Full Name	≈ Accept Rate
ICRA	IEEE Int’l Conf. on Robotics & Automation	40–44%
IROS	IEEE/RSJ Int’l Conf. on Intelligent Robots & Systems	45%
RSS	Robotics: Science and Systems	25–30%
CoRL	Conference on Robot Learning	37–40%
NeurIPS	Neural Information Processing Systems	25–26%
ICML	International Conference on Machine Learning	28%
CVPR	IEEE/CVF Computer Vision & Pattern Recognition	26%